### ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Discovery and genetic mapping of single nucleotide polymorphisms in candidate genes for pathogen defence response in perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.)

P. M. Dracatos  $\cdot$  N. O. I. Cogan  $\cdot$  M. P. Dobrowolski  $\cdot$ T. I. Sawbridge  $\cdot$  G. C. Spangenberg  $\cdot$  K. F. Smith  $\cdot$ J. W. Forster

Received: 12 November 2007 / Accepted: 3 April 2008 / Published online: 1 May 2008 Springer-Verlag 2008

Abstract Susceptibility to foliar pathogens commonly causes significant reductions in productivity of the important temperate forage perennial ryegrass. Breeding for durable disease resistance involves not only the deployment of major genes but also the additive effects of minor genes. An approach based on in vitro single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) discovery in candidate defence response (DR) genes has been used to develop potential diagnostic genetic markers. SNPs were predicted, validated and mapped for representatives of the pathogenesis-related (PR) protein-encoding and reactive oxygen species (ROS)-generating gene classes. The

Communicated by T. Lübberstedt.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:[10.1007/s00122-008-0766-7\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00122-008-0766-7) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

P. M. Dracatos · N. O. I. Cogan · T. I. Sawbridge · G. C. Spangenberg  $\cdot$  J. W. Forster ( $\boxtimes$ ) Department of Primary Industries, Biosciences Research Division, Victorian AgriBiosciences Centre, 1 Park Drive, La Trobe Research and Development Park, Bundoora, VIC 3083, Australia e-mail: john.forster@dpi.vic.gov.au

P. M. Dracatos · N. O. I. Cogan · M. P. Dobrowolski · T. I. Sawbridge · G. C. Spangenberg · K. F. Smith · J. W. Forster Molecular Plant Breeding Cooperative Research Centre, Bundoora, VIC 3083, Australia

M. P. Dobrowolski · K. F. Smith Department of Primary Industries, Biosciences Research Division, Hamilton Centre, Mount Napier Road, Hamilton, VIC 3330, Australia  $F_1(NA_6 \times AU_6)$  two-way pseudo-test cross population was used for SNP genetic mapping and detection of quantitative trait loci (QTLs) in response to a crown rust field infection. Novel resistance QTLs were coincident with mapped DR gene SNPs. QTLs on LG3 and LG7 also coincided with both herbage quality QTLs and candidate genes for lignin biosynthesis. Multiple DR gene SNP loci additionally co-located with QTLs for grey leaf spot, bacterial wilt and crown rust resistance from other published studies. Further functional validation of DR gene SNP loci using methods such as fine-mapping and association genetics will improve the efficiency of parental selection based on superior allele content.

# Introduction

Genetic resistance to foliar pathogens is an important determinant of successful meat, milk and wool production based on fodder crops (Vanderplank [1984](#page-16-0)). Perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.) is the most widely cultivated pasture species in temperate grazing zones of the world, due to high digestibility and nutritive content as well as superior re-growth capacity following intensive defoliation by herbivores (Fulkerson et al. [1994](#page-14-0); Kimbeng [1999](#page-14-0); Potter [1987](#page-15-0); Tas et al. [2004\)](#page-15-0). The majority of current perennial ryegrass varieties are cultivated as monocultures, leading to potential increased disease pressure on susceptible host cultivars. Specific pathogens of forage varieties include crown rust (Puccinia coronata Corda f.sp. lolii Brown), stem rust (Puccinia graminis Persoon f.sp. lolii Guyot et Massenot) and bacterial wilt (Xanthomonas campestris pv. graminis). All these diseases cause significant reductions in both green and dry matter yield by

acting as a sink for water soluble carbohydrate in the hostleaf blade (Price [1987](#page-15-0)). Grey-leaf spot (Magnaporthe grisea [T.T.Hebert] Yaegashi et Udagawa) causes significant damage to turf varieties used for both sporting and amenity applications.

Molecular breeding for genetic control of resistance is the most economically and environmentally efficient solution for disease management, reducing requirements for fungicide use. Historically, development of cultivars with major gene-based resistance has been identified as the most important method for disease control (Vanderplank [1984\)](#page-16-0). However, prior experience in the breeding of cereals for rust disease resistance suggests that race-specific resistance is not always durable, due to the rapid evolution of pathogen populations (Anikster and Wahl [1979;](#page-13-0) Burdon [1987](#page-14-0); Kimbeng [1999](#page-14-0); Potter et al. [1990;](#page-15-0) McDonald and Linde [2002](#page-15-0)). Current breeding strategies for both cereals and forage grasses have involved the use of minor genes in addition to major genes to provide a more robust form of genetic resistance (Kimbeng [1999\)](#page-14-0).

Major gene resistance mechanisms have frequently been attributed to genes encoding nucleotide binding siteleucine rich repeat (NBS-LRR) proteins which mediate recognition of pathogens by the host plant (Collins et al. [2001;](#page-14-0) Ellis et al. [2000;](#page-14-0) Morel and Dangl [1997\)](#page-15-0). In contrast, functions encoded by DR genes are expressed following recognition and signal transduction and typically contribute to quantitative variation of resistance (Ryals et al. [1996](#page-15-0)). DR proteins are thought to be essential for host survival when challenged by virulent pathogen strains in the absence and presence of gene-forgene-based resistance (Faris et al. [1999](#page-14-0)). Candidate DR genes have been identified from a broad range of monocotyledonous (Hejgaard et al. [1992;](#page-14-0) Koiwa et al. [1997](#page-14-0); Molina et al. [1999](#page-15-0)) and dicotyledonous (De Bolle et al. [1993;](#page-14-0) Peumans and Van Damme [1995](#page-15-0)) plant species. Gene classes such as thionins (Bloch et al. [1998](#page-13-0); Chandrashekar and Satyanarayana [2006\)](#page-14-0), defensins (Her-nández et al. [2005\)](#page-14-0), WRKY transcription factors (Christian et al. [2007;](#page-14-0) Eulgem et al. [1999](#page-14-0)), peroxidases,and catalases (Smith et al. [1989](#page-15-0)) and oxalate oxidases (Torres et al. [2002,](#page-16-0) [2005\)](#page-16-0) contribute to disease resistance in closely related cereal species susceptible to many of the same pathogen genera that infect perennial ryegrass. Specific co-locations between DR gene loci and resistance QTLs have been reported (Giese et al. [1993](#page-14-0); Nodari et al. [1993;](#page-15-0) Leonards-Schippers et al. [1994](#page-15-0); Ferreira et al. [1995](#page-14-0); Faris et al. [1999](#page-14-0); Geffroy et al. [2000\)](#page-14-0). Variation in the amino acid sequence of DR genes such as those encoding oxalate oxidase, peroxidase, catalase and chitinase has been associated with increased latency period of leaf rust infection and disease resistance QTLs in wheat (Anguelova-Merhar et al. [2001](#page-13-0); Faris et al. [1999](#page-14-0); Messmer et al. [2000\)](#page-15-0) and other cereals.

Progress in the understanding of disease resistance in perennial ryegrass has been limited compared to that of major cereal species due to the obligate outbreeding nature of the reproductive system and consequent complexity of genetic analysis. The major focus to date has been on crown rust resistance, for which major and minor QTLs have been detected in different mapping populations on all LGs (Dumsday et al. [2003](#page-14-0); Muylle et al. [2005](#page-15-0); Studer et al. [2007;](#page-15-0) Thorogood et al. [2001](#page-16-0), Sim et al. [2007;](#page-15-0) Schejbel et al. [2007\)](#page-15-0). In addition, QTLs for grey leaf spot and bacterial wilt resistance have been detected on LGs 3, 4, 6 of perennial ryegrass and Italian ryegrass (Lolium multiflorum Lam.) populations (Curley et al. [2005;](#page-14-0) Studer et al. [2006](#page-15-0)). QTLs for disease resistance are commonly detected within similar chromosomal regions, suggesting that certain genomic locations may confer multiple pathogen resistance. The small number of common markers between various genetic maps of ryegrass species, in combination with a relatively small number of published trait dissection studies, has until now limited the validity of this inference. Nonetheless, the perennial ryegrass p150/112 reference genetic map (Jones et al. [2002a](#page-14-0), [b\)](#page-14-0) provides the basis for alignment of trait specific genetic maps and assessment of co-location between disease trait QTLs and candidate genes for disease resistance.

Perennial ryegrass genetic maps based on functionally associated polymorphisms were previously constructed using restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLP) (Faville et al. [2004](#page-14-0)) and SNPs (Cogan et al. [2006](#page-14-0)). SNP discovery has been based on an in vitro approach applicable to any gene or gene class, involving template gene identification, amplicon generation from the parents of a two-way pseudo-testcross mapping population, sequence analysis, SNP identification and validation in the progeny of the mapping family (Cogan et al. [2006;](#page-14-0) Ponting et al. [2007](#page-15-0)). Gene-associated SNPs are suitable as diagnostic markers for superior allele content in forage species, subject to functional validation through linkage-based QTL mapping and association genetics studies (Cogan et al. [2006](#page-14-0); Dobrowoski and Forster [2006](#page-14-0)). DR gene-associated SNP loci may hence be evaluated as contributors to phenotypic variation for durable disease resistance against a broad range of pathogens.

The objectives of this study were to develop molecular markers for a diverse collection of candidate genes involved in durable quantitative resistance and to determine functional significance based on co-location with disease resistance QTLs. SNP markers for a number of representative DR gene classes have been assigned to genetic maps and locations have been compared to novel

QTLs for crown rust field infection, as well as published QTLs for resistance to multiple pathogens.

#### Materials and methods

#### Plant materials

Perennial ryegrass genomic DNA was extracted from parents and progeny of the  $F_1(NA_6 \times AU_6)$  (Faville et al. [2004\)](#page-14-0) and p150/112 (Jones et al. [2002b](#page-14-0)) mapping families using the CTAB method (Fulton et al. [1995](#page-14-0)). A genetic mapping panel was constructed using both parental genotypes and 141  $F_1$  (NA<sub>6</sub>  $\times$  AU<sub>6</sub>) progeny and the heterozygous parent and 23  $F_1$  progeny from p150/112, selected for maximised recombination.

#### Candidate gene identification

Information for a proprietary perennial ryegrass EST collection (c. 44,700 sequences, corresponding to c. 15,000 unigenes: Sawbridge et al. [2003](#page-15-0)) was incorporated into the customised Bioinformatics and Advanced Scientific Computing (BASC) database system (Love et al. [2005](#page-15-0); Erwin et al. [2007](#page-14-0)). Sequence annotation was performed against template genes from related Poaceae species in GenBank, including components of the rice genome. Candidate DR sequences were selected on the basis of lowest wuBLASTX similarity levels (Altschul et al. [1997](#page-13-0)), using a threshold value of  $E < 10^{-10}$ , and gene ontology analysis using Pfam (Bateman et al. [2002](#page-13-0)). Supporting functional data was obtained through use of candidate DR ESTs as query sequences in BLASTN to identify putative orthologous wheat ESTs within the wEST SQL database [\(http://](http://wheat.pw.usda.gov/wEST/blast) [wheat.pw.usda.gov/wEST/blast](http://wheat.pw.usda.gov/wEST/blast)), a proportion of which were mapped to deletion bins [\(http://wheat.pw.usda.gov/](http://wheat.pw.usda.gov/cgi-bin/westsql/map_locus.cgi) [cgi-bin/westsql/map\\_locus.cgi](http://wheat.pw.usda.gov/cgi-bin/westsql/map_locus.cgi): Qi et al. [2003](#page-15-0)).

DNA sequence information for candidate DR genes used in this study is freely available on request from the corresponding author.

## In vitro discovery and validation of gene-associated SNPs

Locus amplification primers (LAPs) were designed using DR gene EST templates, PCR was performed, genomic amplicons were cloned and sequenced and DNA sequences were aligned essentially as described by Cogan et al. [\(2006](#page-14-0)). Predicted SNPs were initially validated as showing Mendelian segregation using 10  $F_1(NA_6 \times AU_6)$  individuals and then genotyped across the full mapping panel of 141  $F_1(NA_6 \times AU_6)$  progeny through single nucleotide primer extension (SNuPe) (Cogan et al. [2006\)](#page-14-0).

Genetic map construction

Integration of SNP loci into the existing  $F_1(NA_6 \times AU_6)$ parental genetic maps was performed as described by Faville et al. [\(2004](#page-14-0)) and Cogan et al. [\(2006](#page-14-0)).

Phenotypic analysis of crown rust resistance

A spaced plant field trial consisting of  $F_1(NA_6 \times AU_6)$ progeny (156 individuals) was established at DPI-Hamilton in October 2005, to evaluate the effects of a natural crown rust infection. The field experiment was planted in a resolvable incomplete block row  $\times$  column design, with check plots of the  $NA<sub>6</sub>$  parent running diagonally across the design to account for spatial variance. Each progeny genotype position within the trial consisted of up to 4 perennial ryegrass plants within the same unit (depending on persistence) and all units were replicated six times. Each individual was scored for both leaf and plant crown rust resistance for all six replicates. Leaf infection scoring was based on a 0–5 (non-linear) visual scale representing percentage spatial coverage from resistant (0% rust infection) to susceptible (70%) phenotypes of the most severely infected leaf, whilst plant infection score was based on the severity of infection throughout the plant canopy for each genotype and was assessed using the same scoring scale.

#### QTL analysis

Following genetic map construction using MAPMAKER 3.0, a sub-set of marker loci was selected to provide even coverage of the genome with marker intervals of approximately 5 cM, and consensus map distances were subsequently used. Single marker regression (SMR) was initially used to identify significant variation associated with selected genetic markers. Simple interval mapping (SIM: Lander and Botstein [1989](#page-15-0)) and composite interval mapping (CIM) methods were used to identify and confirm the presence of QTLs. All analyses were performed using the QTL Cartographer 2.5. software (Basten et al. [1994](#page-13-0)). The maximum log-of-odds (LOD) score of association between the genotype and trait data was calculated for SIM and CIM, and QTL location predictions were accepted for SIM for values greater than a threshold value of 2.5. Permutation analysis (1,000 iterations) was used to establish an experiment-wise significance value at the 0.05 confidence level defined as a minimum LOD threshold for each trait in CIM (Churchill and Doerge [1994;](#page-14-0) Doerge and Churchill [1996\)](#page-14-0). For each form of interval analysis, the maximum LOD value, additive marker allele effects, proportion of phenotypic variance attributable to the QTL and the extent of drops by 1 and 2 LOD units from the maximum value on the genetic map were tabulated.

Statistical analysis of the crown rust QTL phenotypic data

Plant and leaf infection scores were obtained for each of the six experimental replicates and statistically analysed for mean score and standard deviation per  $F_1$  genotype, and normal distribution and broad sense heritability of each trait through use of REML in Genstat V8. Experimental datapoints were spatially adjusted to account for the check plots within the field trial.

#### Comparative genetic mapping

Crown rust (Sim et al. [2007](#page-15-0)) and grey leaf spot (Curley et al. [2005\)](#page-14-0) resistance QTLs were previously assigned to the parental maps of the MFA  $\times$  MFB pseudo-F<sub>2</sub> ( $\Psi$ -F<sub>2</sub>) interspecific (perennial ryegrass  $\times$  Italian ryegrass [L. multiflorum Lam.]) genetic mapping population, which contain heterologous RFLP markers in common with the p150/112 reference map (Jones et al. [2002a\)](#page-14-0). QTLs for bacterial wilt resistance (Studer et al. [2006\)](#page-15-0) were assigned to the biparental consensus genetic map of an Italian ryegrass two-way pseudo-test cross population based on parental genotypes from the advanced breeding germplasm (ABG) pool of Agroscope FAL Reckenholz, Zürich, Switzerland, and from cultivar Adret, respectively. The  $F_1(ABG \times A^{-1})$  map contains genomic DNA-derived SSR (LPSSR) markers (Jones et al. [2001\)](#page-14-0) in common with the p150/112 reference map. Comparison of marker locus order between the p150/112 and  $F_1(NA_6 \times AU_6)$  genetic maps was also performed through the presence of common LPSSR loci (Jones et al. [2002b\)](#page-14-0). The location of the LpPc1 crown rust resistance locus identified from analysis of the perennial ryegrass  $F_1$ (Vedette<sub>6</sub>  $\times$  Victorian<sub>9</sub>) two-way pseudo-test cross population (Dumsday et al. [2003\)](#page-14-0) was directly extrapolated onto the p150/112 genetic map through common LPSSR loci.

Coincidence between disease resistance QTLs and herbage quality QTLs was also based on alignment with the p150/112 genetic map, following trait-dissection within this population as described by Cogan et al. [\(2005](#page-14-0)).

#### Results

#### DR candidate gene identification

A total of 13 candidate EST sequences were selected (Table [1](#page-4-0)), including representatives from the PR proteinencoding (LpPERa, LpTHc, LpTHb, LpCHIjb, LpGLUCk, LpTLa, LpDEFa, LpERa and LpWRKY14) and cellular ROS-generating (LpNOX, LpGLR, LpCAT and LpOXO) gene classes. For example, LpGLR, LpCAT, LpNOX and LpOXO gene selection was based on sequence annotation informed by physiological knowledge of resistance mechanisms during early stages of infection of Poaceae species by both biotrophic and necrotrophic fungal pathogens. Perennial ryegrass ESTs putatively orthologous to functionally defined wheat genes were identified by comparative sequence analysis. The LpCAT, LpOXO and LpPERa genes showed highest similarity to mapped wheat ESTs located within the same deletion bins as gene sequences previously shown to co-locate with disease resistance loci or QTLs (ESM 1) (Huang et al. [2003](#page-14-0); Kuraparthy et al. [2007](#page-15-0); Ling et al. [2003\)](#page-15-0). Interspecific sequence diversity in coding sequences was low, with an average of 89% sequence identity between putative CAT, PER and OXO orthologues (ESM 2). Comparative sequence analysis also revealed conservation of intron and exon number and boundary sequences for all intron-containing DR genes (data not shown).

#### Perennial ryegrass DR gene SNP discovery

DR genes were subjected to in vitro SNP discovery through comparison of genomic amplicons from the  $F_1(NA_6 \times AU_6)$ mapping population parents, and subsequent validation using selected  $F_1$  progeny (Table [1\)](#page-4-0). Genomic DNA of 10,637 bp cumulative length was resequenced from the template genes, 7 of which contained between 1 and 5 introns. A total of 219 SNPs were detected, at an overall frequency of 1 per 49 bp. Average SNP frequency within introns was 1 per 38 bp, and the equivalent value for exons was 1 per 57 bp (Table [1](#page-4-0)). Eight (62%) DR genes (LpGLUCk, LpDEFa, LpERa, LpOXO, LpCAT, LpCHIjb, LpWRKY14 and LpTLa) contained fewer than 10 SNPs in total, while 2 (17%) contained 50 or more SNPs (Table [1](#page-4-0)). Contigs obtained from two distinct genes of the same functional class (LpTHb and LpTHc) differed considerably in both structure and polymorphism content (data not shown).

A sub-set of 43 predicted SNPs were used to design SNuPe assays to interrogate nucleotide variants from each of the 13 variable genes, of which 28 were identified within the  $NA<sub>6</sub>$  parental genotype, 12 within the  $AU<sub>6</sub>$  parental genotype and 3 were insertion–deletion (indel) polymorphisms identified within the  $NA<sub>6</sub>$  parent. A total of 27 (63%) of the SNP loci were validated as segregating in a subset of 10  $F_1$  progeny, with representation from each gene. Details of SNP validation, including SNuPe assay primer information for SNPs progressed to genetic map assignment, are shown in Table [2](#page-5-0).

#### Genetic mapping of DR gene SNPs

Fifteen validated SNP loci from 12 DR genes were integrated into the  $F_1(NA_6 \times AU_6)$  framework parental

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Table 1 Summary information for selected candidate putative defense response genes targeted for SNP discovery

Table 1 Summary information for selected candidate putative defense response genes targeted for SNP discovery

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Table 2 Summary information for DR gene SNP loci showing segregation in the F<sub>1</sub>(NA<sub>6</sub> × AU<sub>6</sub>) genetic mapping population **Table 2** Summary information for DR gene SNP loci showing segregation in the F<sub>1</sub>(NA<sub>6</sub>  $\times$  AU<sub>6</sub>) genetic mapping population

Note: All LAP pairs and SNuPe interrogation primers were designed to operate at common annealing temperatures of 55 and 50-

C, respectively

qcrownrust-LS-2005

<span id="page-6-0"></span>genetic maps (Table [2](#page-5-0)) and 5 (33%) of these SNPs were also polymorphic in the p150/112 population (data not shown). Only single representatives of co-locating SNP loci are represented on the maps. The  $NA<sub>6</sub>$  parental genetic map contains 9 loci on 5 linkage groups (LGs), while the  $AU_6$  parental genetic map contains 5 loci on 5 LGs. Two SNP loci each from the  $Lp$ NOX and  $Lp$ OXO genes were fully coincident on the same LG (Table [1](#page-4-0)). The LpOXO GA SNP at nucleotide coordinate 123 is heterozygous in both parents and provides a bridging locus between the two

Fig. 1 Location of mapped DR gene SNP loci (bold) and QTLs for crown rust resistance measured as both leaf and plant infection score on the  $NA<sub>6</sub>$  (a, **b**) and  $AU_6$  (**c**, **d**) parental maps of the  $F_1(NA_6xAU_6)$  mapping population. The nomenclature for DNA-derived and cDNAderived simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers, single nucleotide polymorphic (SNPs) markers and restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLP) is as described in Faville et al. ([2004\)](#page-14-0) and Cogan et al. ([2006\)](#page-14-0). QTL nomenclature has been adapted from Cogan et al. [\(2005](#page-14-0)) in the form of qtrait-season-year, e.g. q\_crownrust-LS-2005 (LS and PS are defined as leaf and plant infection score traits, respectively). All QTLs depicted were detected using CIM. Bars and lines represent 1 and 2 drops from the maximum LOD value



 $x$ pps0286b

**B**



maps on LG4 (Fig. [1](#page-4-0), Table 1). Two distinct LpCAT SNPs are located on different LGs in each map and presumably correspond to allelic variants of differing paralogous sequences. The DR gene loci failed to cluster, with no obvious correlation between functional class and chromosomal location. SNPs from 4 genes (LpERa, LpPERa, LpDEFa and LpGLUCk) co-located with a corresponding RFLP marker derived from the same EST sequence, but the LpTHc SNP locus on LG7 was distantly located from the cognate RFLP locus. Individual SNPs from the LpNOX,

**NA6-LG3**

 $0.0$ <br>  $8.8$  \  $\pi$  xpps0154a  $8.8 \bigvee$  xpps0259c 16.3 **Xippera-1041ag**<br>21.0 xlpper1<br>42.1 xlpit16ba 42.1  $\frac{1}{16.5}$  xipit16ba  $46.5$   $\frac{1}{2}$  xlplt16bb<br>52.3  $\frac{1}{2}$  xlpwalib 52.3  $\left\| \cdot \right\|$  xipwalib xinwalih 60.4 xlppkabab 77.9 Way xpps0122c  $83.5$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{$ 84.2 xpps0223b<br>84.9 xpps0113b  $84.9$   $\sqrt{\frac{1}{\text{N}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{N}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text$ 88.7 xlpssrk14b01.2 90.1 xlpssrk09g05.2 92.0 xlpssrhxx050<br>93.4 xlpssrk03b03 93.4 xlpssrk03b03.2<br>95.9 xlpssrk05h02.2 95.9  $\times$  xlpssrk05h02.2  $97.8$  xlpssrk09f06.1<br>xlphish3-282co 104.7 xlphish3-282cg<br>110.7 xlphish3  $110.7 \longrightarrow$  xiphish3<br> $115.7 \longrightarrow$  xpps015  $115.7 \rightarrow xpps0153a$ <br>117.2  $xpps0037c$ 117.2 xpps0037c<br>126.6 xpps00328a 126.6  $\bigoplus$  xpps0328a 129.7<br>135.6 xlpssrk12e03 135.6  $\mathbb{R}$  xlpera 139.0 **xlpera-376ct**<br>
141.0 xpps0080a<br>
145.9 xpps0400a  $\frac{145.9}{\text{Xpps0400a}}$ <br>152 4

**NA<sub>6</sub>-LG2** 

 $\cdot$  xpps0439a

**NA<sub>6</sub>-LG5** 



#### $0.0$   $\overline{)}$  xpps0023b<br>10.7  $\overline{)}$  xlpssrk03q0 10.7  $\left\| \int_{r} \frac{1}{x} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  xlpssrk03g05xlppih 17.7  $\left\| \int_{0}^{1}$  xipmtn 19.5  $\left\| \right\|_F$  xipmtg<br>29.9  $\left\| \right\|_F$  xipmtc 29.9  $\mathbb{W}$  xlpmtc.1<br>33.1  $\mathbb{W}$  xlpmti.1  $33.1$   $\frac{1}{2}$  xlpmtj.1xlpmtl.2 36.9 **X** xpps0007b<br>39.7 **X** xlpssrk08b 39.7 xlpssrk08b01.1xlpssrhxx242<br>43.7 xlpzta 43.7  $\mathbb{Z}$  xlpzta  $47.3$  xpps0177c<br>48.0  $48.0$   $\sqrt{\frac{1}{x^{100}}}\$  xpps0051axpps0373a 48.7 xlpssrk09f08xlpcadd<br>49.5 xlpssrk09q05.1 49.5 xlpssrk09g05.1<br>51.3 xlpssrk12h01.3 51.3 xlpssrk12h01.3 54.0  $\sqrt{\phantom{0}}$  xlpssrh02d12<br>58.0  $\sqrt{\phantom{0}}$  xpps0039b  $58.0$   $\times$  xpps0039b<br>65.4  $\times$  xpps0039b qcrownrust-PS-2005 dcrownrust-65.4  $\times$  xpps0145b 73.7  $\mathbb{Z}$  xlpc4h.1<br>75.3  $\mathbb{Z}$  xlpcysm 75.3  $\sqrt{\phantom{a}}$  xipcysme  $79.9$   $\frac{79.9}{\{x\}}$   $x$  kipplb  $85.3$   $\times$  xpps0353b<br>91.0  $\times$  xpps0213b 91.0  $\times$  xpps0213b<br>103.3  $\times$  xpps0213b  $103.3$   $\sqrt{\phantom{0}}$  xpps0375axpps0164a 105.4  $\bigwedge$  xipmads1<br>111.6  $\bigwedge$  xiphak1 111.6  $\sqrt{\phantom{a}}$  xiphak1 113.2  $\sqrt{\phantom{a}}$  xiphak1-160cg<br>125.5  $\sqrt{\phantom{a}}$  xlpinvg 125.5  $\frac{1}{28.6}$  xlpinvg<br>128.6  $\frac{1}{28}$  xlpcwinv 130.6  $\frac{1}{32.6}$  \\| xlpinvcxlpf5h.1  $132.6$   $\begin{matrix} \uparrow \\ \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{matrix}$  xpps0322b<br>138.7 xlpssa.1

 $NA<sub>6</sub>-LG6$ 



Fig. 1 continued



 $Lp$ THc,  $Lp$ CAT,  $Lp$ GLR and  $Lp$ GLUCk genes were assigned to the p150/112 genetic map at locations corresponding to the equivalent loci on the  $F_1(NA_6 \times AU_6)$ genetic maps, except for the  $Lp$ THc SNP which mapped closer to the centromeric region of LG7 (data not shown).

QTL analysis of crown rust resistance in the  $F_1(NA_6 \times AU_6)$  mapping population

The phenotypic data for both traits (leaf and plant infection score) were analysed to determine population mean, standard deviation, frequency distribution and broad sense heritabilities. Each of the measured traits was normally distributed and both leaf score and plant score were skewed toward the resistant range based on mean phenotype (coefficients of skewness  $= -0.05$  and  $-0.0137$ , respectively). Significant variation  $(P < 0.001)$  was detected between all genotypes of the  $F_1(NA_6 \times AU_6)$  mapping population and broad sense heritability was very similar for both traits (Table [3](#page-10-0), Fig. [2](#page-8-0)). A total of 2 and 4 QTLs were detected for leaf and plant infection score, respectively, both exceeding the genome-wide LOD threshold score of 2.5 using CIM following 1,000 permutations. In each instance, the leaf score QTLs coincided with plant score QTLs (Table [4](#page-10-0), Fig. [1](#page-6-0)).

SMR analysis was performed between phenotypic scores for both traits and marker genotypic data, and in all instances significantly associated ( $P < 0.001$ ) marker loci were exclusively located in chromosomal regions containing QTLs. A total of three QTLs detected as significant (LOD score  $> 2.5$ ) using SIM were not significant using CIM. These include two leaf score QTLs on  $NA<sub>6</sub> LG6$  and one on  $AU_6$  LG7, and are of potential interest in future candidate gene marker co-location studies (Table [4\)](#page-10-0).

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

Fig. 2 Composite frequency histograms for trait distribution data: a plant score (light grey) b leaf score (dark grey) for the 2005 Hamilton spaced field trial

Association of crown rust resistance QTLs with candidate DR genes

QTLs which mapped near the bottom of  $NA<sub>6</sub> LG3$  were significantly ( $P < 0.001$ ) associated by SMR with the EST-SSR locus xpps0375a (located at 103.3 cM) (Fig. [1a](#page-6-0)). Alignment of the parental maps indicates that the xlpwrky14-166ag SNP locus on  $AU_6$  LG3 is located 5 cM distal to the EST-SSR locus xpps0164 (Fig. [4a](#page-11-0)), which colocates with xpps0375a on the  $NA<sub>6</sub>$  parental map. The QTL near to the centromeric region of  $NA<sub>6</sub> LG6$  was significantly associated ( $P < 0.01$ ) with the SNP locus xlpdefa-233ct. In addition, the QTL detected in the centromeric region of AU<sub>6</sub> LG7 was significantly associated ( $P < 0.01$ ) with the SNP locus xlpthc-148at, although the marker is located approximately 1 LOD unit drop from the maximum score location.

Association of DR gene SNP loci with multiple pathogen resistance QTLs using comparative mapping

Comparative mapping analysis between disease resistance QTLs from published studies and the DR gene SNP loci identified in this study was performed using bridging markers between each genetic map. A total of 5 SNP loci corresponding to LpERa, LpPERa, LpDEFa, LpCAT and LpOXO co-located with QTLs for crown rust, grey leaf spot and bacterial wilt resistance. The SNP loci xlpera-376ct and xlppera-1041ag were assigned to the top and bottom of  $LG2$  on the  $NA<sub>6</sub>$  parental map, respectively (Fig. [1](#page-6-0)a). The elicitor response gene locus was found be located within the same chromosomal region as a QTL for grey leaf spot resistance based on common bridging markers with the  $p150/112$  map and the  $\Psi$ - $F_2(MFA \times MFB)$  parental genetic maps (Fig. [3](#page-9-0)a). The peroxidase gene locus is located in the upper region of LG2, in which crown rust QTLs are situated based on alignment with  $\Psi$ -F<sub>2</sub>(MFA  $\times$  MFB) maps through the xcdo405 RFLP locus, but lack of further bridging markers prevents more detailed analysis (Fig. [3a](#page-9-0)). The LpPc1 major crown rust resistance QTL is also located in this genomic region. Assignment of LpCAT and LpDEFa SNPs to the p150/112 map and comparison with the  $\Psi$ -F<sub>2</sub>(MFA  $\times$  MFB) maps revealed that these SNPs were located within the same chromosomal region as a grey leaf spot resistance QTL and a crown rust resistance QTL, respectively (Fig. [3](#page-9-0)b). A SNP within the oxalate oxidase gene is located in close proximity to several QTLs for bacterial wilt resistance in the central region of LG4 on the  $F_1(ABG \times A^{-1})$  map, based on the bridging genomic DNA-derived SSR locus xlpssrk05a11.1 (Fig. [3](#page-9-0)c).

Coincidence of crown rust resistance and herbage quality QTLs

QTLs for crown rust resistance detected in this study colocated with QTLs for herbage quality within the p150/112 population, as well as molecular genetic marker loci derived from candidate genes involved in lignin biosynthesis. The LG3  $NA<sub>6</sub>$  QTL for crown rust resistance was located in the same chromosomal region as QTLs for in vivo dry matter digestibility, neutral detergent fibre, estimated metabolisable energy and crude protein based on bridging markers between the  $NA<sub>6</sub>$ ,  $AU<sub>6</sub>$  and p150/112 genetic maps (Fig. [4a](#page-11-0)). Similar results were observed for the  $AU_6$  LG7 resistance QTL which co-located with multiple QTLs for similar herbage quality traits (Fig. [4b](#page-11-0)). The AU6 LG7 QTL also coincides with a cluster of SNP and RFLP markers derived from candidate genes involved in lignin biosynthesis (xlpccr1-7854ct, xlpomt3 and xlpcadlike05-27.3ca). SMR analysis identified a significant association ( $P < 0.001$ ) between markers xlpssrk14f07, xpps0376a and xlpcch-326cg and the  $AU_6$  LG7 QTL. Alignment with the p150/112 map reveals further evidence for loci encoding the lignin biosynthesis genes cinnamoyl alcohol dehydrogenase ( $Lp$ CAD2), caffeic acid  $o$ -methyltransferase (LpOMT1) and cinnamoyl CoA reductase  $(LpCCR1)$  within this region, based on proximity to the xlpssrk1407 locus (Fig. [4](#page-11-0)b).

#### **Discussion**

Candidate DR gene SNP discovery and genetic mapping

DR gene loci provide plausible candidates for quantitative resistance mechanisms due to cumulative and non-specific modes of action (Faris et al. [1999](#page-14-0); Kruger et al. [2003](#page-15-0);

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

Fig. 3 Comparative mapping analysis between DR gene SNP loci and QTLs for disease resistance from other published studies. Marker nomenclature for the  $NA<sub>6</sub>$ ,  $AU<sub>6</sub>$  and  $p150/112$  is as described in Faville et al. [\(2004](#page-14-0)) and Cogan et al. ([2006\)](#page-14-0). The location of the LpPc1 crown rust resistance locus is as described by Dumsday et al. ([2003\)](#page-14-0). Genetic marker and QTL nomenclature for the MFA  $\times$  MFB

 $\Psi$ -F<sub>2</sub> population maps and the F<sub>1</sub>(ABG  $\times$  Adret) map are as described by Sim et al. ([2007\)](#page-15-0) and Studer et al. [\(2006](#page-15-0)), respectively. BW denotes QTLs for bacterial wilt resistance. AFLP markers were excluded from the  $F_1(ABG \times A^{-1})$  map for the purpose of comparative analysis

Molina et al. [1999](#page-15-0)). Bioinformatic discovery of candidate perennial ryegrass DR gene ESTs has provided an abundant source of SNP markers for use in associating allelic variation with disease resistance QTLs. Multiple SNPs were obtained for all representative gene classes, confirming the suitability of this marker class for genetic analysis of outbreeding species. SNP incidence for the DR genes in this study was very similar to that observed (1 per 54 bp) in a larger sample of candidate genes involved in abiotic stress tolerance, herbage quality and other traits (Cogan et al. [2006\)](#page-14-0). Although there was marked variation in SNP frequencies between different DR gene classes, further analysis across diverse germplasm samples is required to determine whether some DR genes show

<span id="page-10-0"></span>



P values were deemed significant at the 5% confidence interval  $(P < 0.05^*, P < 0.01^{**}, P < 0.001^{***})$ 

anomalously high levels of conservation. Variability in SNP polymorphism between DR genes may also be attributable to heterogeneity in the nucleotide diversity of both coding and non-coding DNA (Andersen and Lubberstedt [2003;](#page-13-0) Cho et al. [2000;](#page-14-0) Gupta and Rustgi [2004](#page-14-0); Zhu et al. [2003\)](#page-16-0). SNP polymorphism was significantly higher within introns: for instance, only 20% of nucleotide variation in the LpNOX and LpGLR genes was located in exonic regions. Higher exonic SNP frequencies observed for the LpTHb, LpTHc and LpERa genes were largely attributable to complex haplotypic structures arising from putative paralogous sequence amplification.

The level of attrition from SNP discovery to genetic map assignment in this study was less than previously reported (Cogan et al. [2006\)](#page-14-0), probably due to low levels of haplotypic complexity and effective gene-specific SNuPe primer design. Multiple SNPs for both the LpNOX and LpOXO genes were assigned to identical map locations, confirming origin from the genetic locus. A total of 5 eligible SNP loci co-located within a 5–10 cM interval with previously mapped RFLP markers developed from the same EST clone. Variation between the precise chromosomal locations of the two marker types is probably due to the lower fidelity of data scoring inherent to RFLPs as compared to SNPs, leading to missing datapoints within a relatively small population size. However, it is also possible that some non-coincident RFLP and SNP loci may arise from paralogous sequences adjacent on the same linkage group. As an example, the xlpthc-148at SNP locus is located c. 66 cM from the xlpthc RFLP locus, probably due to independent mapping of paralogous members of the thionin multigene family. Previous isolation and characterisation of cDNAs corresponding to leaf-specific thionins

 $0.072$  $0.047$ 0.066  $0.048$ 0.075 0.059 0.070 0.064 064  $-0.503$  0.072 -0.423 0.047  $-0.486$  0.066  $-0.427$  0.048  $-0.508$  0.075 -0.456 0.059 -0.501 0.070  $-0.525$  0.080 0.43 40.5 0.433 0.064  $-0.472$  0.064 م<br>م  $a^{\rm a}$   $R^{\rm b}$  $-0.503$  $-0.423$  $-0.486$  $-0.427$  $-0.456$ 0.433  $-0.472$  $-0.508$  $-0.501$  $a^{\mathfrak{a}}$ Position 06.9  $102.9$ (cM) 73.6 66.3  $4.4$ 93.8 68.3  $40.5$  $40.5$  $-0.570$  0.093 2.65 106.9  $-0.530$   $0.079$  2.12 73.6  $-0.561$  0.098 2.64 66.3  $-0.530$   $0.079$  2.00  $4.4$ -0.570 0.094 3.07 102.9 -0.530 0.081 2.45 93.8  $-0.569$  0.095 2.88 68.3  $-0.524$   $0.081$   $2.59$   $40.5$ score Maximum LOD score  $a^a$   $R^b$  Maximum  $500$ CIM  $2.45$ 2.88 SIM CIM 2.65 2.12 2.64  $2.00$ 3.07  $0.43$ 2.59 0.079 0.098 0.079 0.094 0.095 0.080 0.093 0.081 0.081 Р<sup>ь</sup>  $-0.570$  $-0.530$  $-0.530$  $-0.570$  $-0.530$  $-0.569$  $-0.561$  $-0.525$  $-0.524$  $a^{\mathfrak{a}}$ Position 73.6 68.31 06.9 qcrown rust\_LS\_2005 4 59.7–82.4 AU6 xlpffta.1 2.92 68.31  $02.9$ 95.8 42.5 66.3  $42.5$ (cM) qcrown rust\_LS\_2005 6 59.5–100.2 NA<sub>6</sub> xlpssrh02h05 2.76 2.76  $4.4$ qcrown rust\_LS\_2005 7 10.8–15.2 AU6 xlpssrh03a08.2 2.80 4.4 Leaf score qcrown rust\_LS\_2005 3 101.8–132 NA<sub>6</sub>  $N_{\text{H}}$  xlphak1-180cg 2.89 106.9 Plant score qcrown rust\_PS\_2005 3 101.8–132 NA<sub>6</sub> xpps0375a, xlphak1-180ag 3.23 102.9 qcrown rust\_PS\_2005 6 59.5–116.4 NA<sub>6</sub> xlpffta.1 2.82 95.8 qcrown rust\_PS\_2005 4 0–37, 59.7–84.4 AU6 xlpssrh03a08.2 3.27 66.3 qcrown rust\_PS\_2005 7 10.8–15.2 AU6 xlpssrk07b07 2.76 42.5 qcrown rust\_PS\_2005 7 10.6–59.8 AU6 xpps0376 2.84 42.5 LOD score Maximum LOD score Maximum SIM 2.80 3.23 2.82 2.92 3.27 2.76  $2.84$ :pps0375a, xlphak1-180ag clpssrh03a08.2 clpssrh03a08.2 :Iphak1-180cg linked marker linked marker clpssrh02h05 xlpssrk07b07 Most closely Most closely kpps0376 xlpffta.1 dpffta.1 genetic Parental  $< 0.01$  (cM) Parental  $AU_6$  $AU_6$  $NA<sub>6</sub>$  $NA<sub>6</sub>$  $AU_{6}$  $AU_6$  $AU_{6}$ NA<sub>6</sub> map NA<sub>6</sub> Additive effect of substituting alternative alleles at marker locus <sup>a</sup> Additive effect of substituting alternative alleles at marker locus SMR  $P < 0.01$  (cM)  $0 - 37, 59.7 - 84.4$ 59.5-116.4  $59.5 - 100.2$ 101.8-132  $101.8 - 132$ 59.7-82.4  $10.8 - 15.2$  $10.8 - 15.2$  $10.6 - 59.8$ QTL Identifier LG SMR  $\overline{S}$  $\mathbf{r}$ S  $\mathbf{r}$  $\infty$  $\overline{a}$  $\overline{a}$  $\mathbf{r}$  $\overline{a}$ rust LS 2005 qcrown rust\_LS\_2005 qcrown rust\_LS\_2005 qcrown rust\_LS\_2005 qcrown rust\_PS\_2005 qcrown rust\_PS\_2005 qcrown rust\_PS\_2005 qcrown rust\_PS\_2005 qcrown rust\_PS\_2005 QTL Identifier qcrown Phenotypic score score Plant : Leaf trait

**Table 4** Summary of QTL analysis for crown rust resistance traits (leaf and plant infection score) in the F<sub>1</sub>(NA<sub>6</sub> × AU<sub>6</sub>) genetic mapping population

Table 4 Summary of QTL analysis for crown rust resistance traits (leaf and

plant infection score)

genetic mapping population

 $AU<sub>6</sub>$ ) s

in the  $F_1(NA_6 \times$ 

b

Proportion of variance explained by QTL

Proportion of variance explained by QTL

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

Fig. 4 Coincidence of crown rust resistance QTLs with herbage quality trait QTLs digestibility as described by Cogan et al. [\(2005](#page-14-0)). Comparative mapping analysis between the p150/112 reference genetic map and LG7 of the  $F_1(NA_6 \times AU_6)$  AU<sub>6</sub> parental map (a)

in barley suggests that the presence of multiple genomic copies (Bohlmann and Apel [1987](#page-13-0)). Gene paralogy was also observed during map assignment of catalase gene-derived SNPs. Assays were designed for polymorphisms at different base coordinates in each parental genotype from the same template contig, generating distinct chromosomal positions on the parental maps of the  $F_1(NA_6 \times AU_6)$ population. Genetic mapping of wheat DR genes has frequently identified multiple copies of specific DR gene

and LG3 of the  $F_1(NA_6 \times AU_6)$  NA<sub>6</sub> parental map (b) was based on common RFLP markers and genomic-derived SSR markers (Jones et al. [2002b](#page-14-0))

classes (catalases, oxalate oxidases) mapping to distinct chromosomal positions (Faris et al. [1999](#page-14-0); Li et al. [1999](#page-15-0)), suggesting that two different isoforms of the catalase gene have been mapped within this study.

Trait dissection for crown rust resistance

Three previously unidentified chromosomal regions containing crown rust resistance QTLs have been identified on LGs 3, 4 and 6. Six of the 9 detected QTLs were identified using all three analytical methods (CIM, SIM and SMR). Trait dissection studies of crown rust resistance within ryegrass populations have to date identified genetic control by both major and minor QTLs on all 7 LGs using both field infection and artificial inoculation trials (Dumsday et al. [2003;](#page-14-0) Muylle et al. [2005;](#page-15-0) Studer et al. [2007](#page-15-0); Thorogood et al. [2001](#page-16-0); Sim et al. [2007;](#page-15-0) Schejbel et al. [2007\)](#page-15-0). In several studies (Dumsday et al. [2003;](#page-14-0) Muylle et al. [2005](#page-15-0)), QTLs of large magnitude were identified, accompanied by bimodal trait frequency distributions. Such QTLs may correspond to major gene effects, due to the action of receptor-like resistance gene classes such as the NBS–LRR gene family. Only QTLs of low magnitude were observed in this study, possibly due to a lack of racespecific resistance genes matching avirulence loci within Hamilton pathogen isolates. Certain chromosomal regions (upper LG2, lower–central LG1 and central LG7) have been detected under different environmental conditions through analysis of more than one mapping population (Muylle et al. [2005](#page-15-0); Sim et al. [2007](#page-15-0); Schejbel et al. [2007](#page-15-0)), suggesting that not only major but also minor gene effects may be responsible for low magnitude  $(<20\%$  V<sub>p</sub>) QTLs. However, the low number of common markers between genetic maps renders such comparisons difficult.

# Correlation of genomic regions controlling quantitative disease resistance and DR gene SNP loci

SNP loci corresponding to multiple DR genes were located within the same chromosomal regions as previously published QTLs for resistance to multiple pathogens (crown rust, grey leaf spot and bacterial wilt) (Curley et al. [2005](#page-14-0); Studer et al. [2006;](#page-15-0) Sim et al. [2007;](#page-15-0) Schejbel et al. [2007](#page-15-0)). Additionally, mapped SNP loci derived from representatives of the WRKY and thionin gene families were identified in similar genomic locations as newly described crown rust resistance QTLs. Previous studies located a total of 68% of all WRKY cDNA sequences within the disease resistance QTL-containing component of the rice genome, suggesting that such genes are important for the regulation of Poaceae defence reponses (Wisser et al. [2005](#page-16-0)). Crown rust resistance QTLs have been mapped to the pericentromeric region of LG7 in the current study, and also through analysis of the  $\Psi$ -F<sub>2</sub>(MFA  $\times$  MFB) population (Sim et al. [2007\)](#page-15-0). The SNP locus xlpthc-148at, which is adjacent to this QTL, may be correlated with this source of resistance due to the proposed gene function of thionins in related plants (Chandrashekar and Satyanarayana [2006](#page-14-0)), The LpDEFa SNP locus coincided with an  $F_1(NA_6 \times AU_6)$ crown rust resistance QTL, as well as the grey leaf spot resistance QTL described previously, although caution must be exercised in extrapolation of location through the

p150/112 reference map, due the use of bin mapping-based maximally recombinant individuals.

Peroxidase expression has been positively correlated with increased resistance to wheat stem rust  $(P.$  graminis f.sp.tritici) (Seevers et al. [1971\)](#page-15-0). The peroxidase (class III) enzymatic activity has been shown to be important in the responses to rice blast pathogen (Sasaki et al. [2004](#page-15-0)), powdery mildew in barley (Kruger et al. [2003](#page-15-0)) and leaf rust in wheat (Faris et al. [1999](#page-14-0)). The LpPERa gene locus is more likely to coincide with the crown rust resistance QTL on the top of the  $\Psi$ -F<sub>2</sub>(MFA  $\times$  MFB) LG2 genetic map than the LpPc1 crown rust resistance locus, based on the position of a limited number of bridging RFLP and SSR loci (Sim et al. [2007\)](#page-15-0). A crown rust QTL designated  $LpPc3$ was also identified in the upper region of LG2 in the map derived from the  $F_1(SB2 \times TC1)$  population (Muylle et al. [2005](#page-15-0)), but detailed comparison is once again complicated by limited numbers of common markers. In wheat, a peroxidase (TaPER) gene RFLP marker was found to colocate with a QTL for leaf rust resistance accounting for 33% of phenotypic variance (Faris et al. [1999\)](#page-14-0). Based on current knowledge of Poaceae comparative relationships (Jones et al. [2002a\)](#page-14-0) TaPER is located in a region of conserved synteny with the mapped LpPERa SNP locus on the NA6 parental genetic map. Furthermore, bioinformatic and sequence analysis has shown that perennial ryegrass and wheat peroxidase genes are highly similar at the nucleotide level and hence putatively orthologous.

Comparative analysis also indicates that the LpCAT SNP locus maps to a region in perennial ryegrass which is in conserved synteny to the  $Lr3$  locus on 6BL which confers resistance to wheat leaf rust (P. triticana f.sp. tritici) (Sacco et al. [1996\)](#page-15-0). DR gene mapping in hexaploid wheat additionally detected coincidence of a TaOXO RFLP locus with a QTL for wheat leaf rust on chromosome 4A accounting for 10% of phenotypic variance (Faris et al. [1999](#page-14-0)), which is also in a region of conserved synteny with the LpOXO SNP locus on LG4. Translational genomics hence provides further support for functionality of perennial ryegrass DR genes.

Trait association between herbage digestibility and crown rust resistance

Coincident QTLs were detected for both herbage quality and crown rust resistance on LG3 and LG7, suggesting that genes involved in cell wall development such as lignin biosynthesis, may be associated with disease resistance mechanisms. Although herbage quality QTLs coincided with a cluster of lignin biosynthesis genes on LG7 (Cogan et al. [2005\)](#page-14-0) no candidate genes for lignification have so far been mapped to LG3. Comparative genomic analysis between wheat and perennial ryegrass identified putative

<span id="page-13-0"></span>orthologues of  $LpCCR1$ ,  $LpOMT1$  and  $LpCAD2$  which physically map to the long arms of all wheat homeologous chromosomes 3 (Cogan et al. [2005](#page-14-0)). Previous studies of conserved synteny within the Poaceae (Jones et al. [2002a](#page-14-0); Sim et al. [2005;](#page-15-0) Devos [2005;](#page-14-0) Gale and Devos [1998](#page-14-0)) suggest that similar genes are likely to be located in this genomic region of perennial ryegrass. Trait dissection analysis of the MFA  $\times$  MFB  $\Psi$ -F<sub>2</sub> population identified a crown rust resistance QTL in the centre of LG7 in a similar position as the QTL detected in this study, suggesting that this genomic region may be important for resistance in multiple germplasm sources (Sim et al. [2007](#page-15-0)).

Candidate genes involved in lignin biosynthesis have also been tentatively associated with host defence mechanisms to invasion by biotrophic pathogens (Kawasaki et al. [2006\)](#page-14-0). In other forage species such as smooth bromegrass, crown rust resistance was positively correlated with high lignin content (Delgado et al. [2002\)](#page-14-0) and in closely related cereal species such as wheat, the inhibition of enzymes involved in lignin production increased the susceptibility to stem rust (P. graminis f.sp. tritici) (Moerschbacher et al. [1990\)](#page-15-0). Structural studies of genes involved in increased cell wall lignification in response to pathogen invasion have obtained contrasting results for perennial ryegrass. The sequences of LpCAD1 and LpCAD2 show close phylogenetic affinity to A. thaliana and rice CAD genes involved in plant defence responses (Lynch et al. [2002](#page-15-0)). However, no increase in the level of expression of LpOMT1 and LpOMT2 genes were detected in response to wounding and crown rust infection (Heath et al. [1998](#page-14-0)). Allelic variation, leading to changes in amino acid primary sequence, and hence protein function, may instead contribute to observed phenotypic variation of disease susceptibility. A role for perennial ryegrass lignin biosynthesis genes as candidates for quantitative disease resistance has yet to be determined, but the current results suggest that further investigation may be worthwhile. This could involve crown rust resistance evaluation of different transgenic lines with downregulation of lignin biosynthesis genes such as LpCAD2, LpCCR1 and LpOMT1.

#### Potential for genic SNP-based marker assisted selection

The data obtained in this study shows that QTL analysis may be performed for disease resistance traits in perennial ryegrass and that molecular genetic marker loci linked to the relevant genomic regions may be defined. The ability to infer direct coincidence between DR gene SNP loci and mapped disease resistance QTLs is limited by two factors: low numbers of common markers across genetic maps, and the known extent of QTL-containing regions for lower heritability traits when measured in small mapping populations. However, this study has demonstrated that extrapolation through the reference genetic map reveals substantial co-location of DR gene loci in genomic regions shown consistently to contain resistance QTLs for multiple pathogens. The SNP locus assays described in this study will highly assist future mapping studies to refine and extend these relationships. Definitive data on the functional significance of selected DR genes would require the use of large-scale fine-mapping populations, which are still in development for perennial ryegrass (Shinozuka et al. [2008](#page-15-0)), and association mapping approaches (Mackay [2001](#page-15-0)). The extent of linkage disequilibrium (LD) in outcrossing forage species is not large, typically spanning the length of an average plant gene (Ponting et al. [2007](#page-15-0); Xing et al. [2007](#page-16-0)). Validation of diagnostic DR gene markers will hence depend on the use of highly characterised association mapping panels (Ponting et al. [2007](#page-15-0)) to directly correlate SNP haplotype with disease resistant host phenotypes (Dobrowoski and Forster [2006](#page-14-0)). Given success, such markers will be valuable for direct selection of superior allele content (Sorrells and Wilson [1997](#page-15-0)) in commercial perennial ryegrass breeding programs.

Acknowledgments This work was supported by funding from the Victorian Department of Primary Industries, Dairy Australia Ltd., the Geoffrey Gardiner Dairy Foundation, Meat and Livestock Australia Ltd. and the Molecular Plant Breeding Cooperative Research Centre (MPB CRC). The authors thank Dr. Charles Brummer (University of Georgia, USA) and Prof. Michael Hayward (Rhydgoch Genetics, Aberystwyth, UK) for careful critical reading of the manuscript and Dr Guoyou Ye for assistance with statistical and QTL analyses.

#### References

- Altschul SF, Tl Madden, Schaffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ (1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res 25:3389–3402
- Andersen JR, Lubberstedt T (2003) Functional markers in plants. Trends Plant Sci 8:554–560
- Anguelova-Merhar VS, Van Der Westhuizen AJ, Pretorius ZA (2001)  $\beta$ -1, 3-glucanase and chitinase activities and the resistance response of wheat to leaf rust. Phytopathology 149:381–384
- Anikster Y, Wahl I (1979) Coevolution of the rust fungi on Gramineae and Liliaceae and their hosts. Ann Rev Phytopathol 17:367–403
- Basten CJ, Weir BS, Zeng Z-B (1994) Zmap-a QTL cartographer. In: Smith C, Gavora JS, Chesnais BBJ, Fairfull W, Gibson JP, Kennedy BW, Burnside EB (eds) Proceedings of the 5th world congress on genetics applied to livestock production: Computing Strategies and Software, vol 22, Guelph, pp 65–66
- Bateman A, Birney E, Cerruti L, Durbin R, Etwiller L, Eddy SR, Griffiths-Jones S, Howe KL, Marshall M, Sonnhammer ELL (2002) The Pfam protein families database. Nucleic Acids Res 30:276–280
- Bloch C Jr, Patel C, Baud SU, Zvelebil F, Carr MD (1998) NMR structure of an anti-fungal g-thionin protein SIa1, similarity to scorpion toxins. Proteins 32:334–349
- Bohlmann H, Apel K (1987) Isolation and characterization of cDNAs coding for leaf-specific thionins closely related to the

<span id="page-14-0"></span>endosperm-specific hordothionin of barley (Hordeum vulgare L.). Mol Gen Genet 207:446–454

- Burdon JJ (1987) Disease and plant population biology. Cambridge University Press, London
- Chandrashekar A, Satyanarayana KV (2006) Disease and pest resistance in grains of sorghum and millets. J Cereal Sci 44:287–304
- Cho YG, Ishii T, Temnykh S, Chen X, Lipovich L, McCouch SR, Park WD, Ayres N, Cartinhour S (2000) Diversity of microsatelites derived from genomic libraries and Genbank sequences in rice (Oryza sativa L.). Theor Appl Genet 100:713–722
- Christian RA, Liu Y, Shen QJ (2007) The WRKY gene family in rice (Oryza sativa). J Integr Plant Biol 49:827–842
- Churchill GA, Doerge RW (1994) Empirical threshold values for quantitative trait mapping. Genetics 138:963–971
- Cogan NOI, Smith KF, Yamada T, Francki MG, Vecchies AC, Jones ES, Spangenberg G, Forster JW (2005) QTL analysis and comparative genomics of herbage quality traits in perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.). Theor Appl Genet 110:364–380
- Cogan NOI, Ponting RC, Vecchies AC, Drayton MC, George J, Dracatos PM, Dobrowolski MP, Sawbridge T, Smith KF, Spangenberg G, Forster JW (2006) Gene-associated single nucleotide polymorphism discovery in perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.). Mol Gen Genomics 276:101–112
- Collins N, Park R, Spielmeyer W, Ellis J, Pryor AJ (2001) Resistance gene analogs in barley and their relationship to rust resistance genes. Genome 44:375–381
- Curley J, Sim SC, Warneky S, Leong SB, Jung G. (2005) QTL mapping of resistance to grey leaf spot in ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.). Theor Appl Genet 111:1107–1117
- De Bolle MF, David KM, Rees SB, Vanderleydon J, Cammue BP, Broekaert WF (1993) Cloning and characterisation of a cDNA encoding an antimicrobial chitin-binding protein from amaranth, Amaranthus caudatus. Plant Mol Biol 22:1187–1190
- Delgado NJ, Casler MD, Grau CR, Jung GH (2002) Reactions of smooth bromegrass clones with divergent lignin or etherified ferulic acid concentration to three fungal pathogens. Crop Sci 42:1824–1831
- Devos KM (2005) Updating the 'Crop Circle'. Curr Opin Plant Biol 8:155–162
- Dobrowoski MP, Forster JW (2006) Linkage disequilibrium-based association mapping in forage species. In: Oraguzie NC, Rikkerink E, Gardiner SE, De Silva NH (eds) Association mapping in plants. Springer, New York, pp 197–209
- Doerge RW, Churchill GA (1996) Permutation tests for multiple loci affecting a quantitative character. Genetics 142:285–294
- Dumsday JL, Smith KF, Forster JW, Jones ES (2003) SSR-based genetic linkage analysis of resistance to crown rust (Puccinia coronata f. sp lolii) in perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne). Plant Pathol 52:628–637
- Ellis J, Dodds P, Pryor T (2000) Structure, function and evolution of plant disease resistance genes. Curr Opin Plant Biol 3:278–284
- Erwin TA, Jewell EG, Love CG, Lim GAC, Li X, Chapman R, Batley J, Stajich JE, Mongin E, Stupka ER, Spangenberg G, Edwards D (2007) BASC: an integrated bioinformatics system for Brassica research. Nucleic Acids Res 35:870–873
- Eulgem T, Rushton PJ, Schmelzer E, Hahlbrock K, Somssich IE (1999) Early nuclear events in plant defence signalling: rapid gene activation by WRKY transcription factors. EMBO J 18:4689–4699
- Faris JD, Li WL, Liu DJ, Chen PD, Gill BS (1999) Candidate gene analysis of quantitative disease resistance in wheat. Theor Appl Genet 98:219–225
- Faville MJ, Vecchies AC, Schreiber M, Drayton MC, Hughes LJ, Jones ES, Guthridge KM, Smith KF, Sawbridge T, Spangenberg GC, Bryan GT, Forster JW (2004) Functionally associated

molecular genetic marker map construction in perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.). Theor Appl Genet 110:12–32

- Ferreira ME, Rimmer SR, Williams PH, Osborn TC (1995) Mapping loci controlling Brassica napus resistance to Leptosphaeria maculans under different screening conditions. Phytopathology 85:213–217
- Fulkerson WJ, Slack K, Lowe KF (1994) Variation in the response of Lolium genotypes to defoliation. Aust J Agric Res 45:1309–1317
- Fulton TM, Chunwongse J, Tanksley SD (1995) Microprep protocol for extraction of DNA from tomato and other herbaceous plants. Plant Mol Biol Rep 13:207–209
- Gale MD, Devos KM (1998) Comparative genetics in the grasses. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95:1971–1974
- Geffroy V, Sévignac M, De Oliveira J, Fouilloux G, Skroch P, Thoquet P, Gepts P, Langin T, Dron M (2000) Inheritance of partial resistance against Colletotrichum lindemuthianum in Phaseolus vulgaris and co-localization of quantitative trait loci with genes involved in specific resistance. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 13:287–296
- Giese H, Holm-Jensen AG, Jensen HP, Jensen J (1993) Localization of the Laevigatum powdery midew resistance gene to barley chromosome 2 by the use of RFLP markers. Theor Appl Genet 85:897–900
- Gupta PK, Rustgi S (2004) Molecular markers from the transcribed/ expressed region of the genome in higher plants. Funct Integr Genom 4:139–162
- Heath R, Huxley H, Stone B, Spangenberg G (1998) cDNA cloning and differential expression of three caffeic acid O-methyltransferase homologues from perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.). J Plant Physiol 153:649–657
- Hejgaard J, Jacobsen S, Bjorn SE, Kraph KM (1992) Antifungal activity of chitin-binding PR-4 type proteins from barley grain and stressed leaf. FEBS Lett 307:389–392
- Hernández I, Portieles R, Chacón O, Borrás-Hidalgo O (2005) Proteins and peptides for the control of phytopathogenic fungi. Biotecnol Aplicada 22:256–260
- Huang L, Brooks SA, Wantong L, Fellers JP, Trick HN, Gill BS (2003) Map-based cloning of leaf rust resistance gene Lr21 from the large and polyploid genome of bread wheat. Genetics 164:655–664
- Jones ES, Dupal MP, Kölliker R, Drayton MC, Forster JW (2001) Development and characterisation of simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers for perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.). Theor Appl Genet 102:405–415
- Jones ES, Mahoney NL, Hayward MD, Armstead I, Gilbert Jones J, Humphreys MO, King IP, Kishida T, Yamada T, Balfourier F, Charmet G, Forster JW (2002a) An enhanced molecular marker based genetic map of perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne) reveals comparative relationships with other Poaceae genomes. Genome 45:282–295
- Jones ES, Dupal MP, Dumsday JL, Hughes LJ, Forster JW (2002b) An SSR-based genetic linkage map for perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.). Theor Appl Genet 105:577–584
- Kawasaki T, Koita H, Nakatsubo T, Hasegawa K, Wakabayashi K, Takahashi H, Umemuram K, Umezawa T, Shimamoto K (2006) Cinnamoyl-CoA reductase, a key enzyme in lignin biosynthesis, is an effector of small GTPase Rac in defense signaling in rice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103:230–235
- Kimbeng CA (1999) Genetic basis of crown rust resistance in perennial ryegrass, breeding strategies, and genetic variation among pathogen populations: a review. Aust J Exp Agric 39:361–378
- Koiwa H, Kato H, Nakatsu T, Oda J, Yamada Y, Sato F (1997) Purification and characterisation of tobacco pathogenesis-related protein PR–5d, an antifungal thaumatin-like protein. Plant Cell Phys 38:783–791
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>Kruger WM, Szabo LJ, Zehen RJ (2003) Transcription of the defense response genes chitinase IIb, PAL and peroxidase is induced by the barley powdery mildew fungus and is only indirectly modulated by R genes. Phys Mol Plant Path 63:167–178
- Kuraparthy V, Chhuneja P, Dhaliwal HS, Kaur S, Bowden RL, Gill BS (2007) Characterization and mapping of cryptic alien introgression from Aegilops geniculata with new leaf rust and stripe rust resistance genes Lr57 and Yr40 in wheat. Theor Appl Genet 114:1379–1389
- Lander ES, Botstein D (1989) Mapping Mendelian factors underlying quantitative traits using RFLP linkage maps. Genetics 121:185– 199
- Leonards-Schippers C, Gieffers W, Schafer-Pregl R, Ritter E, Knapp SJ, Salamini F, Gebhardt C (1994) Quantitative resistance to Phytophthora infestans in potato—a case study for QTL mapping in an allogamous plant species. Genetics 137:67–77
- Li WL, Faris JD, Chittoor JM, Leach JE, Hulbert SH, Liu DJ, Chen PD, Gill BS (1999) Genomic mapping of defense response genes in wheat. Theor Appl Genet 98:226–233
- Ling HQ, Zhu Y, Keller B (2003) High resolution mapping of the leaf rust disease resistance gene Lr1 in wheat and characterization of BAC clones from the Lr1 locus. Theor Appl Genet 106:875–882
- Love CG, Robinson AJ, Lim GAC, Hopkins CJ, Batley J, Barker G, Spangenberg GC, Edwards D (2005) Brassica ASTRA: an integrated database for Brassica genomic research. Nucleic Acids Res 33:656–659
- Lynch D, Lidgett A, McInnes R, Huxley H, Jones E, Mahoney N, Spangenberg G (2002) Isolation and characterisation of three cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase homologue cDNAs from perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.). J Plant Physiol 159:653–660
- Mackay TFC (2001) The genetic architecture of quantitative traits. Ann Rev Genet 35:303–309
- McDonald BA, Linde C (2002) Pathogen population genetics, evolutionary potential, and durable resistance. Ann Rev Phytopath 40:349–379
- Messmer M, Seyfarth R, Keller R, Schachermayr G, Winzeler M, Zanetti S, Feuillet S, Keller B (2000) Genetic analysis of durable leaf rust resistance in winter wheat. Theor Appl Genet 100:419– 431
- Molina A, Gorlach J, Volrath S, Ryals J (1999) Wheat genes encoding two types of PR-1 proteins are pathogen inducible, but do not respond to activators of systemic acquired resistance. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 12:53–58
- Morel J-B, Dangl JL (1997) The hypersensitive response and the induction of cell death in plants. Cell Death Diff 4:671–683
- Moerschbacher BM, Noll U, Gorrichon L, Reisener HJ (1990) Specific inhibition of lignification breaks hypersensitivity resistance of wheat stem rust. Plant Physiol 93:465–470
- Muylle H, Baert J, Van Bockstaele E, Pertijs J, Roldan-Ruiz I (2005) Four QTLs determine crown rust (Puccinia coronata f. sp. lolii) resistance in a perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne) population. Heredity 95:348–357
- Nodari RO, Tsai SM, Guzman P, Gilbertson RL, Gepts P (1993) Toward an integrated linkage map of common bean. III. Mapping genetic factors controlling host–bacteria interactions. Genetics 134:341–350
- Peumans WJ, Van Damme EJM (1995) Lectins as plant defense proteins. Plant Physiol 109:347–352
- Ponting RC, Drayton MC, Cogan NOI, Dobrowolski MP, Smith KF, Spangenberg G (2007) SNP discovery, validation, haplotype structure and linkage disequilibrium in full-length herbage nutritive quality genes of perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.). Mol Gen Genom 278:585–597
- Potter LR (1987) Effect of crown rust on regrowth, competitive ability and nutritional quality of perennial and Italian ryegrass. Plant Pathol 36:455–461
- Potter LR, Cagas B, Paul VH, Birckenstaedt E (1990) Pathogenicity of some European collections of crown rust (Puccinia coronata Corda) on cultivars of perennial ryegrass. Phytopathology 130:119–126
- Price T (1987) Ryegrass rust in Victoria. Plant Protect Quart 2:189
- Qi L, Echalier B, Friebe B, Gill BS (2003) Molecular characterisation of a set of wheat deletion stocks for use in chromosome bin mapping of ESTs. Funct Integr Genomics 3:39–55
- Ryals JA, Neuenschwander UH, Willits MG, Molina A, Steiner HY, Hunt MD (1996) Systemic acquired resistance. Plant Cell 8:1809–1819
- Sacco F, Suárez EY, Naranjo T (1996) Mapping of the leaf rust resistance gene Lr3 on chromosome 6B of Sinvalocho MA wheat. Genome 41:686–690
- Sasaki K, Iwai T, Hiraga S, Kuroda K, Seo S, Mitsuhara I, Iwano M, Ito H, Matsui H, Ohashi Y (2004) Ten rice peroxidases redundantly respond to multiple stresses including infection with rice blast fungus. Plant Cell Phys 45:1442–1452
- Sawbridge T, Ong E, Binnion C, Emmerling M, McInnes R, Meath K, Nguyen N, Nunan K, O'Neill M, O'Toole F, Rhodes C, Simmonds J, Tian P, Wearne K, Webster T, Winkworth A, Spangenberg G (2003) Generation and analysis of expressed sequence tags in perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.). Plant Sci 165:1089–1100
- Schejbel B, Jensen LB, Xing Y, Lübberstedt T (2007) QTL analysis of crown rust resistance in perennial ryegrass under conditions of natural and artificial infection. Plant Breed 126:347–352
- Seevers PM, Daly JM, Catedral FF (1971) The role of peroxidase isozymes in resistance to wheat stem rust disease. Plant Physiol 48:353–360
- Sim S, Chang T, Curley J, Warnke SE, Barker RE, Jung G (2005) Chromosomal rearrangements differentiating the ryegrass genome from the Triticeae, oat, and rice genomes using common heterologous RFLP probes. Theor Appl Genet 110:1011–1019
- Sim S, Diesburg K, Casler M, Jung G (2007) Mapping and comparative analysis of QTL for crown rust resistance in an Italian  $\times$  perennial ryegrass population. Phytopathology 97:767–776
- Shinozuka H, Cogan NOI, Smith KF, Spangenberg GC, Forster JW (2008) Fine-structure genetic and physical mapping around the self-incompatibility loci of perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.). Plant and Animal Genome XVI, San Diego, p 626
- Smith K, Vierheller TL, Thorne CA (1989) Properties and functions of glutathione reductase in plants. Phys Plant 77:449–456
- Sorrells ME, Wilson WA (1997) Direct classification and selection of superior alleles for crop improvement. Crop Sci 37:691–697
- Studer B, Boller B, Herrmann D, Bauer E, Posselt UK, Widmer F, Kölliker R. (2006) Genetic mapping reveals a single major QTL for bacterial wilt resistance in Italian ryegrass (Lolium multiflorum Lam.). Theor Appl Genet 113:661–671
- Studer B, Boller B, Bauer E, Posselt U, Widmer F, Kölliker R (2007) Consistent detection of QTLs for crown rust resistance in Italian ryegrass (Lolium multiflorum Lam.) across environments and phenotyping methods. Theor Appl Genet 115:9–17
- Tas BM, Taweel HZ, Smit HJ, Elgersma A, Dijkstra J, Tamminga S (2004) Intake and milk production of cows grazing perennial ryegrass cultivars with different crown rust resistance. Grassland Science in Europe. Land use systems in grassland dominated regions, vol 9. In: Proceedings of the 20th general meeting of the European Grassland Federation, Luzern, Switzerland. pp 107– 116
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>Thorogood D, Paget M, Humphreys M, Turner L, Armstead I, Roderick H (2001) QTL analysis of crown rust resistance in perennial ryegrass—implications for breeding. Inter Turfgr Soc Res J 9:218–223
- Torres MA, Dangl JL, Jones JDG (2002) Arabidopsis gp91<sup>phox</sup> homologues AtrbohD and reactive oxygen intermediates in the plant defence response. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99:517–522
- Torres MA, Jones J, Dangl JL (2005) Pathogen-induced, NADPH oxidase-derived reactive oxygen intermediates suppress spread of cell death in Arabidopsis thaliana. Nat Genet 37:1130–1134
- Vanderplank JE (1984) Disease resistance in plants, 2nd edn. Academic Press, London
- Wisser RJ, Sun Q, Hulbert SH, Kresovich S, Nelson RJ (2005) Identification and characterisation of regions of the rice genome associated with broad-spectrum, quantitative disease resistance. Genetics 169:2277–2203
- Xing Y, Frei U, Schejbel B, Asp T, Lübberstedt T (2007) Nucleotide diversity and linkage disequilibrium in 11 expressed resistance genes in Lolium perenne. BMC Plant Biol 7:43
- Zhu YL, Song QJ, Hyten DL, Van Tassell CP, Matukumalli LK, Grimm DR, Hyatt SM, Fickus EW, Young ND, Cregan PB (2003) Single nucleotide polymorphisms in soybean. Genetics 163:1123–1134